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ABSTRACT

Dahesht, L.E.; Mustafayev, Q.; Mohebbi, F. & Ahmadi, R. 2013. Braz. J. Aquat. Sci. Technol. 17(2):1-6. eISSN 1983-9057. DOI: 10.14210/bjast.v17.n2.p1-6. Determination of chlorophyll a is one of the most common methods for measuring the primary production in the lakes and sea water. We studied Artemia Leach. dynamics and chlorophyll a fluctuation and their relationship in Urmia Lake. Sampling was performed monthly during one year (2007) at eleven sampling stations in Urmia Lake. Population dynamics analysis of Artemia from Urmia Lake suggested that the highest diversity in various Artemia morphological stages was present from May to July and the highest densities in March. On the other hand, no morphological stages were observed, at all stations in the lake, from January to April. The mean chlorophyll a concentration in Urmia Lake was 0.8 µg.L⁻¹, and the highest and the lowest concentrations of chlorophyll a were measured in June and July with 2.53 µg.L⁻¹ and 0.1 µg.L⁻¹ respectively. According to the Carlson classification Urmia Lake was oligothrophic. Artemia biomass appeared in the mid spring and bloomed in the summer. Generally, there was a negative relationship between Artemia population and chlorophyll a.
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INTRODUCTION

Urmia lake is a thalasohaline lake and one of the most hypersaline lakes in the world (Abbaspour & Nazaridoust, 2007). It is located at 37°30’N and 45°30’E, with a surface area of 4 750 to 6 100 Km² and mean depth of 6.0m (Eimanifar & Mohebbi, 2007). This lake is 130 - 150km long and 20 - 50km wide, being located at 1 280m above sea level (Karimi & Rankuhi, 2007). The salinity of the lake has risen from 175 to 340 ppt during last decade (Negarestan, 2001, 2004). Lake Urmia requires annually 3 086 MCM of inflow water to maintain a sustainable ecosystem(Abbaspour & Nazaridoust, 2007). Urmia Lake is a hypersaline lake and its salinity was recorded 165 mg.l⁻¹ from Urmia Lake began in Iran in 1996. Urmia Lake is one of the largest permanent hypersaline lakes in the world, a unique habitat including bisexual Artemia monica Verrill. Although Artemia Leach. has been known for centuries, its use as a food for larviculture began in 1930’s. The lake’s Artemia, that are affected by algae, are crucial from fisheries point of view (Sorgeloos et al., 1998; Mohebbi et al., 2006).

The economic harvesting of Artemia urmiana from Urmia Lake began in Iran in 1996. Urmia Lake is one of the largest permanent hypersaline lakes in the world, a unique habitat including bisexual A. urmiana (Azari Takami, 1987; Esmaili, 2005; Eimanifar & Mohebbi, 2007). Artemia is mainly fed with phytoplankton and detritus.

In lakes, plankton plays a key role in nutrient cycling (Mackenzie et al., 2001). Phytoplankton play an important role in maintaining the global carbon cycle (Mitra et al., 2004) and are considered as the main primary producers in water ecosystems. Chlorophyll a
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is present in all phytoplankton groups and its measure-
ment is a well-known method for the determination of primary production in aquatic ecosystems (Winder & Cloern, 2010). The purpose of this paper was to study the Artemia dynamics and chlorophyll a fluctuation and their relationship in Urmia Lake.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Urmia Lake has been divided into north and south arms by a causeway which was built on the lake. To facilitate water flow between the north and south part of the lake, there is a 1 400m narrow area (Van Stappen et al., 2001) that has recently been covered by a bridge. In this study, 11 sampling sites (A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, M and N) were selected in the middle, northern, and southern parts of Urmia Lake (Fig. 1). Sampling was performed monthly during 2007.

Samples for chlorophyll a determination were taken at 0.5m depth and filtered through a glass fiber filter (GF/C) buffered with magnesium carbonate. Phytoplankton chlorophyll a concentrations were estimated according to standard methods (Parsons & Strickland, 1965) after 24 h extraction in 90% cold acetone.

It is considered that like other zooplanktons, Artemia distribution is heterogeneous and its different stages are transported to various regions of the lake by water flows (Stephens & Birdsey, 2002). Therefore, we selected a stratified random design for Artemia sampling. Artemia sampling was performed either along a transect by towing a 100μ mesh net on the surface (20cm) or by pumping water from 2.5m depth. Artemia population composition and abundance were determined using an Olympus VMZ 1X-4X stereomicroscope. Its wet and dry weights were measured by a Sartorius BA110F digital balance after 24h at 60°C (Lavens & Sorgeloos, 1997).

All data including chlorophyll a, the number of Artemia morphological structures and Artemia biomass (wet weight) were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Fisher LSD test after performing homogeneity test with SPSS software.

RESULTS

The density of Artemia in various morphological stages and of cysts are shown in figures 2 and 3 respectively. Analysis of population dynamics of Artemia from Urmia Lake showed that the maximum mean of different morphological structures of Artemia such as 'nauplii + meta nauplii', juvenile, adult males and adult females, were 565±84ind.m\(^{-3}\) in May; 6.7±3.2ind.m\(^{-3}\) in May; 187.8±6.8ind.m\(^{-3}\) in July and 146.7±35.1ind.m\(^{-3}\) in June respectively. Similarly, reproductive females (with cysts bearing ovisacs) had a density of 70±23.8ind.m\(^{-3}\) in July. On the other hand, mean maximum cysts density in the lake water was 81.7±24ind.L\(^{-1}\) which was observed in March. The minimum mean values for all morphological structures were 0ind.m\(^{-3}\) from January to April, when the lake was void of any live Artemia. This value for cysts was 82.5±0.8ind.L\(^{-1}\). The absolute maximum density of 'nauplii + meta nauplii', juvenile, adult males and adult females and reproductive fe-

Figure 1 - Sampling sites location in Urmia Lake.
males observed in this study were 28.9±19.1ind.m⁻³ in site G; 4.1±3.0ind.m⁻³ in site G; 86.7±25.6ind.m⁻³ in site N; 52.3±14.9ind.m⁻³ in site N and 73.4±26.5ind.m⁻³ in site H, respectively. Also, the highest cysts density was 127.3±36.3ind.L⁻¹ was observed in site B. Furthermore, the absolute and the mean maximum wet weights of Artemia biomass appeared in site N with 4.4±2.3mg.L⁻¹ and 3.1±1.7mg.L⁻¹ respectively which was observed in June (fig. 4).

The monthly fluctuation of chlorophyll a during the study period is shown in figure 4. The maximum and minimum mean of chlorophyll a was obtained 2.4±0.2µg.L⁻¹ and 0.46±0.2µg.L⁻¹ in June and November respectively. The highest and lowest concentration of chlorophyll a were found in sites E and G with 1.0±0.7µg.L⁻¹ and in site H with 0.1±0.2µg.L⁻¹, respectively. According to data analysis by One-way ANOVA, chlorophyll a concentration and Artemia biomass and densities were negatively related to each other and statistically were significant (P < 0.05) during the study period.

DISCUSSION

As mentioned earlier, Artemia habitats are extreme, old and hold a unique and simple biodiversity composition (Gajardo et al., 2006). Since, there are no recorded salinities lower than 140 ppt in Urmia Lake, no other zooplankton except Artemia has been reported there.

Due to its high salinities, only few species of phytoplankton can resist such an environment like Urmia Lake. Quantitative analysis of phytoplankton density indicated that primary production in Urmia Lake was lower than that of the Great Salt Lake (Gliwicz et al., 1995).

The best known halotolerant or halophilic eu-karyotic algae are species of genus Dunaliella (Javor, 1989). Dunaliella was found in the highest salinity ranges i.e., 99 to 145ppt (Melack et al., 1999). This green algae was the dominant phytoplankton of Urmia Lake (Shoahassani, 1996; Mohebbi et al., 2006).
fore being the main contributor to the chlorophyll a concentration in this lake.

In winter, when temperature was below 3°C in the Great Salt Lake, *Artemia* were completely absent from the lake, phytoplankton abundance was high (≥13 Chl a µg.L−1) and the dominant grazers were ciliated protozoans (Wurtsbaugh & Gliwicz, 2001). A similar scenario was observed in Urmia Lake but with lower phytoplankton densities. In this lake, chlorophyll a values ranged from 0 to 4.71µg.L−1. Average monthly values, however, seldom exceeded 1µg.L−1 and chlorophyll a levels reached a maximum in November-December (Van Stappen et al., 2001). In the present study, the highest and the lowest values of chlorophyll a in Urmia Lake were 2.37±1.35µg.L−1 and 0.46±0.2µg.L−1 in late spring and late autumn respectively. Generally, in this study, the average value of chlorophyll a in Urmia Lake was 0.8±0.3µg.L−1. Therefore, with regard to chlorophyll a concentration and according to Carlson (1996) classification, we may attribute Urmia Lake as oligotrophic.

Two peaks were observed in the chlorophyll a concentration: a higher one occurred in late spring (June) and a lower one in October, which coincided with *Artemia* densities fluctuations observed in this study. The highest and the lowest densities of *Artemia* cysts were 324±81.7ind.L−1 and 4.5±0.8ind.L−1 in late winter and mid summer, respectively. *Artemia* biomass appeared in mid spring and bloomed in summer, when it reached 4.4±3.3mg.L−1 (fig. 4). As the temperature rose in May, the nauplii density increased to its highest value (565ind.m−3) then, it completely disappeared in December. No nauplii were observed in early spring. In general, there were no morphological stages of *Artemia* observed during winter. Few juveniles could be observed because of the relatively rapid development of *Artemia* (nauplii to adults). The high densities of juveniles were observed in May when nauplii had also high densities.

In 2001, the average temperature of Urmia Lake water surface layers was reported as 27.2ºC in summer, 9.1ºC in autumn and 5.2ºC in winter (Pourasghar, 2004). Therefore, probably the water temperature plays a crucial role in phytoplankton and *Artemia urmiana* populations and densities fluctuations. We observed a cyst density peak in November, when there was practically no *Artemia* biomass, only cysts. It is not clear why there were few cysts in December and January. Probably they had sunk to the bottom, and therefore not available for the sampling strategy used in this study. Furthermore, more *Artemia* cysts were observed in late winter and early spring, when compared to other seasons over the lake.

In hypersaline lakes, the development of *Artemia* is mainly related to two factors: quantity of phytoplankton population and water temperature. Therefore, in the cold season, *Artemia* biomass decreased and phytoplankton density increased, and therefore so did the chlorophyll a concentration. The changes observed can be described as follows: in winter when temperatures were lower than 2°C *Artemia* were absent from the lake and phytoplankton abundance was relatively low (0.7 chl a µg.L−1). In the spring cysts hatched when phytoplankton was abundant (1.7 chl a µg.L−1) and *Artemia* grew and produced large egg batches. Despite the high production of nauplii, *Artemia* densities declined by July and the growing shrimp population grazed down the phytoplankton resource to 0.5 chl a µg.L−1. In spite of higher phytoplankton food resource during the summer there was limited production of eggs and limited recruitment of juveniles, probably due to the low food availability.
CONCLUSION

In Urmia Lake, chlorophyll a concentration and Artemia density were related to each other in such way that phytoplankton production was reduced during the winter due to low temperatures and Artemia density dropped dramatically too. However, primary production increased in warmer months in spite of Artemia presence. This pattern is a natural way by which Artemia preserves its valuable genetic resources in cysts during unfavorable conditions.
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