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ABSTRACT

Molisani, M.M.; Lisieux, R.; Cavalcante, M.D. & Maia, L.P. 2007. Effects of water management on hydrology and water quality of a semi-arid watershed in the Northeast of Brazil. Braz. J. Aquat. Sci. Technol. 11(1):43-49. ISSN 1808-7035. Water resource management based on dam construction, diversion, and other engineered hydraulic structures improves conditions for humans living in arid and semi-arid areas. However, the effects of damming on fluvial and coastal ecosystems are well-know, as it is the fact that economic and social development based on water management might enhance the pressure on such environments. This study gives a first basin scale representation of the possible effects of water resource management on hydrology and water quality of a typical semi-arid watershed/estuarine system in Northeastern Brazil. Although the dam cascade suggests a hydrological alteration of the stream flow, the upstream location of dams in the Cocó watershed does not apparently alter the total discharge to the estuary. Water quality threats, such as high fecal coliform levels and low dissolved oxygen indicated that although water management supported extensive economic and social development, it resulted in considerable degradation of aquatic systems if no attention was paid to environmental and sanitary conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Hydrology and water quality are important factors which have affected water resources in semi-arid areas (Al-Kharabsheh, 1999; Chellapa and Costa, 2003; Güntner et al., 2004). To increase water availability in order to meet the demands, semi-arid rivers have been intensively dammed and the negative impact that river regulations have on aquatic systems, including coastal areas, has been revealed (WCD, 2000). Dams in semi-arid areas primarily serve as a water supply, which entails reducing the intensity and frequency of peak flows and increasing the importance of low flow conditions (Maingi and Marsh, 2002; Batalla et al., 2004; Singer, 2007). Additionally, hydrological changes imposed by dams can alter the land-sea transport of water, sediments and nutrients, which have modified the structure of many coastal ecosystems around the world (Cociasu et al., 1996; Harashima et al., 2006; Gong et al., 2006; Molisani et al., 2006a, 2007) and almost certainly, coastal areas adjacent to highly dammed semi-arid watersheds.

An additional factor driving the increasing water storage behind dams, and consequently water management, relates to the improvement of conditions for human settlement in semi-arid areas with considerable constraints for human subsistence. Water is a key restricting factor for socio-economic development, and as water availability has increased in semi-arid areas, based on water resource management, activities such as agriculture, industry and urbanization may experience growth. However, in the absence of sewage treatment plants, the amount of water used per inhabitant may return to the aquatic environments in improper sanitary conditions and deterioration of water quality has become a critical issue.

The actual number of drainage basins so far studied in the semi-arid Northeast of Brazil is reduced, and so more information is required for this region where the scarcity of water resources is the main constraint (Gaiser et al., 2003). In Ceará State, which is located in this region, watersheds are under the influence of a semi-arid climate and pronounced time and space variability of rainfall as well as recurrent droughts, which have affected the region. As a result, water is stored in reservoirs as much as possible (Campos and Studart, 2006). At this time, many areas are undergoing considerable socio-economic development based on water resource management such as dam construction and diversion, and consequently environmental threats on river and coastal systems have frequently been addressed, including poor water quality (Vieira et al., 1994, 2002; Moreira, 1994; Marins et al., 2002; Molisani et al., 2006b) and probably more subtle effects caused by damming.
In this study, the effects of water resource management were analyzed in terms of hydrology and water quality of the Cocó River which is located in Ceará State and supplies water for a city with two-million inhabitants through a dam cascade-diversion scheme, suggesting important changes in this semi-arid river/estuarine system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

This study was carried out in the Cocó River watershed located in Ceará State, Northeast Brazil (Fig. 1). This region has recurrently been affected by intense drought, which has caused serious economic loss and social impact. Mean annual precipitation is about 850 mm with virtually all of the annual precipitation occurring between January and May. The inter-annual rainfall variability is high, with an annual rainfall variable coefficient of 0.36. Potential evaporation amounts to about 2,100 mm (Gaiser et al., 2003).

The Cocó River watershed has an area of 360 km² and is situated within a city of over two million inhabitants. To supply water for a variety of purposes (i.e., drinking, industry, agriculture, and recreation), about 30 dams were built across the watershed, ranging from small to the largest dam “açude”, the Gavião reservoir with a storage volume of 29 hm³ (Fig. 1). The dam cascade also receives water from an adjacent basin through a water diversion scheme. The Cocó River flows into the Atlantic Ocean at 3ºS and 4ºS latitude, and the flow and mixing within the estuary are mostly controlled by freshwater inflow and tide. The dominant feature of the Cocó River estuary is the extensive presence of mangrove forests and the dune fields that strongly influence the river route towards the ocean.

**Sampling procedure and chemical analysis**

Water samples were collected in July, September and December 2003 (dry season) and March, April and June 2004 (rainy season) from eight sampling sites, in order to include the headwater, urban and estuarine river sections (Fig. 1). At each sampling site, water samples were taken in 1 L-polyethylene bottles and transported in an ice box to the laboratory. Dissolved oxygen (DO), electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), water temperature, and pH were measured using YSI 556 Multi Probe System. Salinity was measured in sampling sites under the influence of tides.

The samples were filtered though a 0.45 µm acetate cellulose filter (Millipore HAWPO 4700) and the filtrate stored frozen until the completion of analysis. The concentrations of ammonia (NH₄⁺), nitrate (NO₃⁻) and orthophosphate (PO₄³⁻) were measured spectrophotometrically in filtrate samples (APHA, 1998). Detection limits for NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and PO₄³⁻ were 0.01 mg/L, 0.05 mg/L and 0.01 mg/L, respectively. The 5-days biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅) was determined as the difference between initial and 5-day oxygen concentrations in bottles assayed by the Winkler method, after incubation at 20°C. Turbidity was measured colorimetrically by light absorbance at 750 mm giving the value at NTU. Fecal coliform levels were determined by using the multiple-tube fermentation technique and reported in terms of the Most Probable Number (MPN) of organisms present per 100 mL (APHA, 1998).

**Hydrological data**

Measurements of Cocó River discharges, as well as for most rivers in Ceará state are either insufficient or not available at all. Thus, to assess the influence of dams on total discharge from the Cocó River basin to the estuary, we used the climate runoff model (Schreiber, 1904; Holland, 1978) to generate long-term mean discharges and to simulate the pre- and post-dam river conditions.

The climatic runoff model is based on the climatic water balance approach which assumes a balance between rainfall, evapotranspiration, and runoff. The runoff model has been shown to work well for a diverse number of fluvial/estuarine systems (Kjerfve et al., 1997; Restrepo and Kjerfve, 2000; Molisani et al., 2006a). The model requires long-term precipitation and temperature records (~ 30-yr period) which were obtained from Fundação de Meteorologia do Estado do Ceará (FUNCEME), the Meteorology Foundation of Ceará State, who regularly measure rainfall and temperature.
at four meteorological stations in the drainage basin. The basin was divided in polygons (sub-basins) according to the five altitude classes (0-200, 200-400, 400-600, 600-800 meters), similar to the procedure adopted by Kjerfve et al. (1997). Each polygon area was quantified using a digital GIS-based map. We calculated area-weighted estimates of precipitation and temperature for each polygon during the dry (July to December) and rainy seasons (January to June), from which the evapotranspiration, runoff ratio, and discharge were calculated separately. For polygons without temperature measurements, we corrected the temperature from adjacent meteorological stations adiabatically, i.e., by –0.97 °C per 100 m elevation increase (List, 1966).

Discharge (Q, m³/s) from each sub-basin (A, m²) was computed as:

\[
Q = \int \int r \times \frac{\Delta f}{r} \times dA
\]

(Kjerfve, 1990), where, \( r \) is precipitation (mm yr⁻¹ but converted in m s⁻¹ for use in equation 1), and the non-dimensional quantity \( \Delta f/r \) is the non-dimensional runoff ratio, which expresses the fraction of rainfall converted into runoff. The runoff ratio was calculated from:

\[
\frac{\Delta f}{r} = e^{-E_0/r}
\]

Here \( E_0 \) is the potential evapotranspiration (mm yr⁻¹), calculated by using the empirical dependence on air temperature (T, degrees Kelvin):

\[
E_0 = 1.0 \times 10^9 \times e^{-4620/T}
\]

(Holland, 1978). By summing the contribution from each sub-basin, we estimated the discharge for the total drainage basin and simulated the pre-dam discharge from the watershed to the estuary. The post-dam discharge was estimated by calculating the runoff from the sub-basins below the most downstream dam across the watershed (Gavião reservoir) and summing this discharge with water release from this reservoir (COGERH, unpublished data). Water losses by evaporation, infiltration and withdrawal were not considered in the present estimate.

To simulate the pre- and post-dam discharges for the Cocó River, we first applied the runoff model (equation 1) to a gauged semi-arid river located in Ceará state and compared simulated and measured discharges to verify the applicability/accuracy of the model for semi-arid conditions. The gauged Jaguaribe River is located in the eastern part of the Ceará State and its features are also influenced by a semi-arid equatorial climate. This river had a gauge station at Iguatu that provided monthly discharge information from 1970 to 1992 (Duursma, 2002). To validate the model, simulated discharge for the Jaguaribe River was compared with the measured discharge for the same period.

Statistical methods
To validate the hydrological model, a simulated discharge for the gauged river was compared with the measurements through paired two-tailed \( t \)-Student test. In order to account for non-parametric distribution of measured water quality parameters in different river sections (headwater, urban and estuarine), a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was conducted to obtain significant spatial differences.

RESULTS

Hydrology
To validate the hydrological model, simulated discharge for the Jaguaribe River was compared with the measured discharge. The mean discharge calculated from the model based on 22-yr normal temperature and rainfall data for this river section (44 ± 85 m³/s) was not significantly different at the 95% confidence level (\( P > 0.05 \), paired two-tailed \( t \)-Student test) from the mean measured discharge (33 ± 44 m³/s). The agreement indicates that the model is robust and works well to establish order of magnitude for discharges in the watershed influenced by the semi-arid tropical climate.

Long-term mean precipitation, temperature, potential evapotranspiration, and dimensionless runoff ratio as shown in Table 1 were used to estimate the pre-dam river discharge transported from catchments to the estuary for dry and rainy seasons. Before dam cascade construction, the Cocó River discharge transferred from the watershed to its estuary averaged 3.0 m³/s during the rainy season. In the dry season, discharge is absent, indicating the ephemeral condition of this watershed.

The same procedure was adopted to obtain river flow below the dam cascade and thus understand the post-dam discharge to the coast (Table 1). From the headwaters to the Gavião Reservoir, the river basin has 52 km², representing 14% of the total catchment area. Based on our calculations, the river section downstream from the major dam until the estuary (86% of total basin area) has a long-term mean water discharge calculated at 3.5 and < 1 m³/s during the rainy and dry seasons, respectively. On average, the actual post-dam discharge
to the estuary in rainy season is primarily determined by basin runoff (3.0 m$^3$/s) while dam-release discharges contribute to 0.6 m$^3$/s. The outflow from dam varies from 0.2 to 43 m$^3$/s and occurs only 14% of monitoring time period (COGERH, unpublished data). In dry season, the natural runoff below the major dam does not exist because of ephemeral condition of this river while the dam-release discharge averaged 0.5 m$^3$/s is responsible for the entirely land-sea water discharge during this season.

Water quality

Figures 2 - 6 show the spatial variability of water quality parameters from headwater to the estuary during the sampling period. Headwaters show significant lower values for TDS, conductivity, BOD, PO$_4^{3-}$, NH$_4^+$, fecal coliform and higher DO levels than urban river section ($P < 0.01$). River conditions between headwater and urban reaches can not be statistically distinguished for temperature, turbidity, pH, and nitrate during the sampling period ($P > 0.05$). The comparison between urban and estuarine river sections shows statistically lower concentrations of NH$_4^+$, PO$_4^{3-}$, NO$_3^-$ and higher values of DO and TDS occurring in the estuarine reach ($P < 0.01$). On the other hand, these areas can not be differentiated for temperature, turbidity, conductivity, pH, BOD, and fecal coliform ($P > 0.05$). Salinity varying from 24 to 31‰ was observed in estuarine sites during the sampling period. All values were compared with the Brazilian Water Quality Guidelines (Conama Resolution 357, 2005) (Figure 2-6). Basically, headwaters lie in the Class 1 for freshwater aquatic environments, while urban river section lies in Class 4, mainly because of low levels of DO (2.5 mg/L) and high levels of fecal coliform (11,000 NMP/100 mL). The estuarine waters are characterized as Class 3 for seawater aquatic environments, in view of the low DO and high fecal coliform levels.

**DISCUSSION**

The Cocó River drains a typical semi-arid tropical watershed/estuarine system in the Northeast of Brazil where current demographic development is based on water resource management. About 30 dams are distributed across the watershed which suggests important alterations of land-sea river discharge. Despite the fact that there are numerous dams, these dams are located high in the catchment area and so do not

---

**Table I - Summary of morphological and meteorological data used to characterize the mean pre- and post-dam discharge during rainy/dry season.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cocó River basin</th>
<th>Area (km$^2$)</th>
<th>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>$E_0$ (mm)</th>
<th>$\Delta f/r$</th>
<th>Discharge (m$^3$/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-dam discharge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>26.2 / 25.2</td>
<td>1086 / 223</td>
<td>193 / 192</td>
<td>0.17 / ~ 0$^a$</td>
<td>3.0 / &lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-dam discharge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below dams</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>26.4 / 25.3$^a$</td>
<td>1089 / 234$^a$</td>
<td>205 / 194$^a$</td>
<td>0.15 / ~ 0$^a$</td>
<td>3.0 / &lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dam-released discharge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 / 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge to the estuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 / ~1$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The values are expressed as area-weighted averages for each elevation polygon  
$^b$ Estimated by summing the runoff from river basin below the Gavião Reservoir and its mean dam-released discharge.

---

Figure 2 - Mean (bars denote S.D.) distribution of phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$), nitrate (NO$_3^-$) and ammonia (NH$_4^+$) in different river sections of the Cocó River during the sampling period (#1 headwater; #2 to #6 urban; # 7 and # 8 estuary).

Figure 3 - Mean (bars denote S.D.) distribution of dissolved oxygen (DO) and 5-days biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) in different river sections of the Cocó River during the sampling period (#1 headwater; #2 to #6 urban; # 7 and # 8 estuary).
disturbance in the estuary, such as siltation and bank formation which are consequently promoting the mangrove extension across the upstream estuary (Lacerda et al., in press).

Water management sustains social and economic development, however deficient waste treatment allows the return of consumed domestic water to the river channel as untreated sewage, impairing water quality of the Cocó River as exhibited by higher values of nutrients, fecal coliform, BOD, and lower DO levels in urban reaches. The importance of wastewater in determining the impairment of the Cocó River is exemplified by the inventory of nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) emission (SEMACE/LABOMAR, 2006). This inventory shows that anthropogenic sources are responsible for 98% and 96% of total N (2,621 t/yr) and P (765 t/yr) emission, respectively, while wastewaters account for 86% and 80% of such anthropogenic N and P emission.

Wastewater has induced severe pollution in urban and estuarine reaches and consequently both parameters are in disagreement with permissible levels from the Brazilian Water Quality Guidelines (Figure 3 and 6). The fecal coliform is being used as an indicator for sanitary standards (Crowther et al., 2002) and spatial trend of fecal coliform across the watershed also suggests direct disposal of sewage effluents into the river and/or failing septic systems. Additionally, organic matter from sewage effluents induces oxygen reduction in downstream reaches with depletion observed in some urban sites.

Certain characteristics appear to be of primary importance in influencing estuarine response to pollution. Estuarine susceptibility to pollution is usually related to the dilution capacity of the water column which is equal to the estuarine volume, and to the flushing time, which is the time required for freshwater flow and tidal prism volume to replace the estuarine volume. Based on these parameters, an index to quantify the transport apparently have a large impact on total freshwater discharge to the coast as described by pre- and post-dam discharge comparison (Table 2). In a neighboring watershed (Pacoti River) where most of the drainage basin is regulated by dams (85%), we can observe, using the same procedure, considerable reduction of post-dam discharges compared to pre-dam conditions (from 11 to 4 m³/s). The reduction of the total water discharge to the coast has induced considerable
processes was developed using physical and hydrologic data (National Research Council, 2003).

To point out possible factors which improve the water quality of the Cocó estuary, we apply the index proposed by the National Research Council (2003). According to this analysis, the Cocó estuary has low dilution capacity based on its volume measured at 219,000 m$^3$ (SEMACE/LABOMAR, 2006). Additionally, the relationship between estuarine volume and current (post-dam) seasonal freshwater discharge (Table 1) indicates fast flushing time of water in the estuary which varies, on average, from 17 hours (wet season) to 61 hours (dry season). By applying these data to the National Research Council (2003) classification, the Cocó Estuary, with relatively small volume and fast flushing time, has moderate susceptibility to nutrient input. Thus, we would expect the high degree of tidal flushing to tend to minimize human-related impacts within the Cocó Estuary and explain the marked improvement in water quality depending on the specific parameter.

CONCLUSION

Water resource management based on a dam cascade-diversion scheme suggests important first-order impacts on the Cocó River hydrology. As shown in the pre- and post-dam discharge comparison, the upstream location of dams apparently did not alter the total water discharge from watershed to the estuary. A second-order impact involves the impairment of water quality conditions of the river. It is related to the increasing water availability based on river management, social and economic development, and absence of proper waste treatment, which allows the returning of consumed domestic water to the river channel as untreated sewage. However, freshwater urban and estuarine reaches respond differently to wastewater pollution, probably based on the location of emission source and/or intrinsic processes (dilution and flushing) which reduce the susceptibility of estuarine reach to sewage pollution, but not in the urban river section.
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